

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigigia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,095	10/01/2001	François Balay .	Balay 2-1	4702
75	90 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			DANG, KHANH	
7th Floor 2000 M Street, I	N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	Washington, DC 20036-3307			· · ·
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		r ·	
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/966,095	BALAY ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh Dang	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a loce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 2 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	706.07(f).	136(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da o).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off ite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	The state of the s		
<ul> <li>3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first that the first that would require further compared to the first that the first</li></ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	•
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	\	ill be entered and an	evolunation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		in be official and any	одриничен от
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hafara ar on the data of filing a N	latics of Appeal will be	nt ha antarad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ills to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered beconsidered beconsidered.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Khanh Dang

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For a complete response to Applicants' argument, see the previous Final Office Action, particularly under "Response to Arguments." With regard to the question of "scalability," the Examiner maintains hos position that the the serial channel of the prior art is scalable. It is clear that CompactPCI is scalable. Further, it is clear that Field Programmable Gate Arrays are reconfigurable devices and one can create custom circuitry to meet specific needs. In another word, FPGAs are scalable. In particular, the serial channel provided by Lucent or Lattice Semiconductor (FPGA, ORCA family, cited in the previous Office Action) is scalable..